Statements regarding CIA sade in conmention with the veto of the Internal Security Act of 1950. Compressional Record 33. September 1950.

Mr. McCARMAN. Mr. Procident, I ask unanimous consent to have printed at this point in the RECORD my analysis of the Procident's vote, in the light of the provisions of the bill.

There being no objection, the statement was ordered to be printed in the RECORD, as follows:

STATISMENT BY SUBAYOR RECARMAN

The third numbered objection in the vote message is that this bill would deprive us of the great assistance of many aliens in intelligence matters.

In reading through the resainder of the veto message, Mr. President, I have tried to find some documentation for that charge. I did not find it. I will not say it is not there; but I did not find it. I did find the charge repeated, on page 5 of the missographed copy of the veto message; repeated, and enlarged. On that page, the veto message states (speaking of sections 22 and 25 of the bill) that "what these provisions would estably do is to prevent us from admitting to our country, or to citizenship, many people who could make real contributions to our national strength. The bill would deprive our Covernment and our intelligence agencies of the valuable services of aliens in security operations. It would require us to exclude and deport the citizens of some friendly, non-Communist countries. It would actually make it essier for subversive aliens to become United States citizens."

Mr. President, the limited authority the Covernment now has to offer anylum in our country to such persons is not disturbed by section 22 of this bill. The sutherity of the Attorney Comercil to waive the provision excluding such persons is not eliminated. Furthermore, as I pointed out during detate on this bill on the Iloar of the Senate, the logislation recently pessed by the Congress permitting the misdesion of up to 100 eliens per year for intelligence reasons is not repealed or otherwise affected by H. R. 9590; and the interesting thing is that although that legislation permitted the entry of a hundred persons per year, and was enseted upon the plan that at least that many persons would be needed to be allowed to enter the country, yet down to the present time only a hundred of persons has been admitted under that law. (Page 15,817)

- 0 -

discussion; but there has been so much loose criticism, as I would call it, in relation to this subject, that I sigh to find out definitely whether or not in the Senator's opinion there is in the bill snything which limits or in any way interferent with the action of the so-called Central Intelligence Agency of the dovernment.

Wr. McCARRAN. There is nothing in the bill that limits either the Central Intelligence Agency of the Covernment or the FMI or any one of the other protective a section.

Er. WILET. I thank the fenator. That was an own conclusion, but I wished to have it confirmed.

or. McCARMAN. Lot me say to the fan tor, further in amount to his question, that that matter was gone info over and over again in conference, and was guarded and protected in every way.

So the primary purpose of this bill, snong other purposes, is to see to it that the internal security of the lovernment of the United States is maintained. That is the object of the bill. The agencies which have been established to protect internal percent, cortainly would not be interfered with in their operation.

he. Alkalab. is. President, will the endor yield:

The PREDIDEN CYTICE. Does the Greator from Sevada yield to the

Mr. McCARRAN. I yield.

the point of view of the legislative history, but I particularly wanted to call his attention to section 3 of Abbie Law 110, Highty-diret Congress, a copy of which I showed him a little writer, which deals with the right of the intelligence authorities to bring in—I believe it is a limitation of 100.

Br. McCARAE. That is correct.

Mr. EMONLAND. And I wanted to make oure that there was not in this anything that interfered in my map with that ladiclative authority.

Ur. BECANNAIL &

excluding such persons is not elisionist. For thermore, as I pointed out

Approved For Release 2003/09/02: CIA-RDP58-00597A000100030006-4

- 3 -

during debate on this bill on the Moor of the Senate, the legislation recently passed by the Congress panditing the admission of up to 100 aliens per year for intelligence reasons is not repealed or otherwise affected by H. R. 9590; and the interesting thing is that although that legislation permitted the entry of a fundred persons per year, and was enacted upon the plan that at least that many persons would be needed to be allowed to enter the country, jet down to the present time only a handful of persons has been admitted under that law.